Spread of an introduced parasite across the Hawaiian archipelago independent of its introduced host
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SUMMARY

1. Co-introductions of non-native parasites with non-native hosts can be a major driver of disease emergence in native species, but the conditions that promote the establishment and spread of non-native parasites remain poorly understood. Here, we characterise the infection of a native host species by a non-native parasite relative to the distribution and density of the original non-native host species and a suite of organismal and environmental factors that have been associated with parasitism, but not commonly considered within a single system.

2. We examined the native Hawaiian goby *Awaous stamineus* across 23 catchments on five islands for infection by the non-native nematode parasite *Camallanus cotti*. We used model selection to test whether parasite infection was associated with the genetic diversity, size and population density of native hosts, the distribution and density of non-native hosts, land use and water quality.

3. We found that the distribution of non-native *C. cotti* parasites has become decoupled from the non-native hosts that were primary vectors of introduction to the Hawaiian Islands. Although no single intrinsic or extrinsic factor was identified that best explains parasitism of *A. stamineus* by *C. cotti*, native host size, population density and water quality were consistently identified as influencing parasite intensity and prevalence.

4. The introduction of non-native species can indirectly influence native species through infection of co-introduced parasites. Here, we show that the effects of ‘enemy addition’ can extend beyond the range of non-native hosts through the independent spread of non-native parasites. This suggests that control of non-native hosts is not sufficient to halt the spread of introduced parasites. Designing importation regulations to prevent host–parasite co-introductions can promote native species conservation, even in remote areas that may not seem susceptible to human influence.
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Introduction

The spread of non-native species is a widely recognised threat to biological diversity worldwide, particularly in aquatic ecosystems (Sala *et al.*, 2000; LePrieur *et al.*, 2008). Species introductions can lead to loss of native species through direct interactions, such as predation and competition and indirect effects such as those arising from co-introduced pathogens. The process of ‘enemy addition’, whereby non-native parasites or pathogens transfer from introduced to native host species, can be a major driver of disease emergence in species of concern (Prenter *et al.*, 2004; Peeler *et al.*, 2011). For instance, *Myxobolus cerebralis*, the etiological agent of whirling disease, decimated populations of native cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki*) in North America following the introduction of infected rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) (Koel *et al.*, 2006). Enemy addition can also have non-lethal consequences that diminish fitness of native species, such as reducing body condition and
fecundity, shifting predator–prey interactions and altering responses to environmental stress (Heins et al., 2004; Frenter et al., 2004; Szuroczki & Richardson, 2009). Despite a growing appreciation of the potential impacts of non-native species on native species and ecosystems, the conditions that promote establishment and spread of introduced parasites remain poorly understood (LePrieur et al., 2008; Vignon & Sasal, 2010).

A variety of factors, both extrinsic (e.g. land use, chemical pollution) and intrinsic to the host (e.g. genetic diversity, body size), have been identified as potential drivers of parasite intensity and prevalence. Increased nutrients or contaminants generated by land-use practices, for example, can alter parasite carrying capacity of host populations by influencing host density (Johnson et al., 2010), condition (Brown, Loosli & Schmid-Hempel, 2000) or immune response (Rohr et al., 2008). Parasitism, however, can also be reduced as a result of environmental impairment as free-living larvae and intermediate hosts are often susceptible to environmental contaminants (Lafferty & Kuris, 1999; MacKenzie, 1999; McIntyre et al., 2005). Factors intrinsic to host populations also can be important predictors of the likelihood and degree of parasitism; older hosts, for example, have more time to accumulate parasites (Clers, 1991; Poulin, 2000), and greater genetic diversity of host populations has been linked to lower parasite transmission rates as well as increased probability of parasite extirpation (Hughes & Boomsma, 2005; Altermatt & Ebert, 2008).

Oceanic island streams offer exceptional opportunities to evaluate factors that control the spread, intensity and prevalence of introduced parasites (Font, 2003). Insular stream ecosystems exhibit a broad range of biotic and abiotic conditions, yet their geographic isolation produces depauperate native host and parasite communities compared with the diversity of introduced species that serve as vectors and hosts of non-native parasites (Font, 2003). For example, in many streams across the Hawaiian archipelago, particularly on O‘ahu, the diversity of non-native fishes can be an order of magnitude higher than that of native fishes (Eldredge, 2000; Yamamoto & Tagawa, 2000). Non-native poeciliid fishes (e.g. guppies, swordtails) also have become widespread across the entire Hawaiian archipelago and have resulted in the introduction of non-native parasites that infect four of the five species of native stream fish (Font & Tate, 1994; Font, 2003). Non-native parasites introduced with poeciliids have become the most abundant and widespread members of the freshwater parasite community, far exceeding all parasites that have colonised the archipelago via natural mechanisms (Font, 2003). To date, however, introduced parasites have only been found in streams that harbour introduced poeciliids, and it is believed that variation in parasitism within native hosts reflects differences in poeciliid densities among catchments (Font, 2003, 2007).

In this study, we evaluated whether the distribution and abundance of introduced hosts act as primary constraints on the spread and abundance of the non-native intestinal nematode _Camallanus cotti_ infecting the native amphidromous goby _Awaous stamineus_ (Eydoux & Souléyet, 1850, Lindstrom et al., 2012) across the Hawaiian archipelago. To test for host control of parasitism rates, we characterised the population density of both introduced and native hosts, as well as the body size, condition and genetic diversity of the native host. We also examined probable alternatives to host control of parasitism, based on evidence that the spread and pathogenicity of non-native parasites can depend on land use (McKenzie, 2007), aquatic habitat condition (McIntyre et al., 2005; Rohr et al., 2008) and native host distribution (van Riper et al., 1986). This involved surveying sites spanning a broad gradient of stream impairment (relatively pristine to heavily degraded sites) to evaluate whether parasitism reflects water quality or land-use conditions. Combined, these parallel comparisons of intrinsic and extrinsic factors provided a powerful test of the controls on the intensity and prevalence of introduced parasites within a native host species across the archipelago.

**Methods**

**Parasite life history**

The intestinal nematode _Camallanus cotti_ is the most widespread of the introduced parasites that infect native Hawaiian stream fishes (Font & Tate, 1994; Font, 2003). It has a global distribution and an indirect life cycle (Dove, 1999; Font, 2007). Cyclopoid copepods serve as the intermediate host and fishes serve as the definitive host, with fish becoming infected by ingesting infected copepods (Font, 2007). _Camallanus cotti_ infects a wide range of host species and can cause severe damage to the intestine that may result in host mortality (Kim, Hayward & Heo, 2002; Menezes et al., 2006). _Camallanus cotti_ is considered a potential threat to the health of host fish (Kim et al., 2002; Font, 2003; Menezes et al., 2006), but the severity of disease it causes in native Hawaiian fishes is not known. Infection incidence in Hawaiian gobies peaks in summer months although parasite abundance and prevalence remain relatively stable across years, suggesting an absence of annual epizootic cycles (Vincent & Font, 2003).
Host life history

Poeciliids that occur throughout the Hawaiian Islands are freshwater obligate species that have been introduced and further translocated among catchments by humans for mosquito control. Poeciliids are believed to have been the original vector by which C. cotti was spread across Hawai‘i (Font, 2003). Streams across the archipelago are also inhabited by five endemic amphidromous fish species of conservation concern (McDowall, 2003; Lindstrom et al., 2012; Walter et al., 2012). Adults of obligatory amphidromous species live and spawn in freshwater streams, but newly hatched larvae quickly drift to the sea where they develop for up to 6 months prior to recruiting back into fresh water (Radtke, Kinzie & Folsom, 1988). In facultative amphidromous species, the majority of larvae may remain in fresh water or nearshore environments (e.g. estuary, river plume) (Hogan et al., 2014). We focused on the facultative amphidromous goby Awaous stamineus (Lindstrom et al., 2012; Hogan et al., 2014) because this species exhibits broad in-stream distributions, high population densities and resilience to anthropogenic stream degradation compared with other native stream fishes (Walter et al., 2012). Awaous stamineus therefore offered opportunities to: (i) examine biological response to conditions across environmental gradients; (ii) sample individuals from multiple reaches within a catchment and (iii) collect native fishes without risk of detrimental effects on local populations.

Field data and sample collection

From June to October 2009, 23 catchments were sampled across the five Hawaiian Islands with perennial streams (Hawai‘i, Maui, Moloka‘i, O‘ahu and Kaua‘i; Fig. 1). Survey sites encompassed a broad gradient of stream impairment from relatively pristine to heavily degraded, allowing us to assess the influence of water quality and land use on parasitism. At each site, snorkelers surveyed the densities of native and non-native fishes within thirty 1 m² quadrats distributed randomly along a 140-m-long reach (following Higashi & Nishimoto, 2007). These standardised surveys were supplemented with the presence–absence records of poeciliids compiled by the Hawai‘i Division of Aquatic Resources (DAR) from a variety of survey methods implemented from 1956 to 2008 (Atlas of Hawaiian Watersheds & Their Aquatic Resources, 2008). Following the quantitative fish surveys, adult and juvenile A. stamineus were collected with hand nets. A total of 919 specimens were collected across 48 sites in the study catchments. A small tissue clip from the second dorsal fin of each individual was stored in 95% ethanol for genetic analysis. Census of parasites in A. stamineus requires euthanasia, and strict regulations limited the number of fish that we could sacrifice per catchment. Therefore, a subset of individuals (n = 421) were euthanised for parasite examination and other purposes. Whole A. stamineus were necropsied for all intestinal macroparasites with a dissecting microscope following Hoffman (1999).

Host attributes: physical condition and genetic analyses

Phenotypic and genotypic traits of A. stamineus were characterised to investigate whether infection by C. cotti varied according to host attributes. Total length and wet mass of each fish were measured prior to necropsy. The body condition of A. stamineus was quantified as the residual deviation in mass from a regression of log10 of wet mass against log10 of total length for all individuals (Anderson & Neumann, 1996). Population-level genetic diversity was assessed by genotyping A. stamineus individuals at 10 microsatellite loci. Nine of the loci were previously published in Hogan, Blum & Walter (2010): Agua A4, Agua B1, Agua B2, Agua C4, Agua D3, Agua D103, Agua D110, Agua D135 and Agua D136. The remaining locus, Agua D117, exhibits a repeat motif of (TATC)9 with an optimal annealing temperature of 48 °C. Primer sequences for amplification of Agua D117 are (F) GCCAAAACCTCATACAAGGT and (R) GGTCTGCTTCTGAAATCTC. Genomic DNA was extracted from fin clips using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA). Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed in 15 µL volumes using MBS Satellite 0.2G thermo cyclers (Thermo Electron Corporation Waltham, MA, USA) with conditions following Hogan et al. (2010). HEX, 6-FAM or NED fluorescently dye-labelled forward primers were used to generate labelled PCR amplicons for sizing the loci against a 500 ROX™ size standard (ABI) on an ABI 3100 DNA analyzer. Electropherograms were scored using GeneMarker v1.85 (SoftGenetics LLC, State College, PA, USA). Observed heterozygosity was used as a measure of genetic diversity (Isomursu et al., 2012) across hierarchical levels (i.e. site, catchment and island) with MSA v4.05 (Dieringer & Schlötzer, 2003).

Environmental conditions: water chemistry and land use

Water chemistry was measured at every site at the time fish were collected. Water samples were collected from the deepest point in the stream channel (the thalweg),
filtered immediately (Whatman GFX, 0.45 μm pore size) and stored frozen until analysis for soluble reactive phosphate (SRP), ammonium, nitrate and total nitrogen by standard colorimetric methods. Total suspended solids were quantified by filtering 1–3 L of stream water onto pre-combusted, pre-weighed filters (Re-weight®) that were kept frozen until oven drying at 60 °C for 24 h. Field measurements of water temperature, conductivity and total dissolved solids were taken using handheld metres (YSI 550a and ECTestr 12). For this study, only broad patterns of differences in water chemistry were of interest; therefore, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to distill the water chemistry data into the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal axes of variation for further analyses.

We estimated agricultural and urban land use (%ag-urb) as the per cent of landscape classified as either developed (open space, low, medium or high intensity), pasture/hay or cultivated crops based on the 2001 National Land Cover Dataset (Homer et al., 2007). The % ag-urb metric was determined at the site level using catchment boundaries derived from the National Hydrography Dataset Plus (NHD+), reflecting the land surface that drains directly into a NHD+ flow line without first flowing into an upstream flow line (McKay, Bondelid & Dewald, 2012).

Data analysis

Two measures of parasitism, mean intensity (mean number of C. cotti per infected A. stamineus) and prevalence (percentage of A. stamineus infected with at least one C. cotti), were calculated for each site following Bush et al. (1997). Comparison of parasite presence/absence with poeciliid presence/absence was conducted at the catchment level based on at least 3 snorkel surveys by our team in addition to historical surveys (Atlas of Hawaiian Watersheds & Their Aquatic Resources, 2008). Spearman’s rank correlations were run between the two metrics of parasitism. We also examined pairwise correlations of parasite measures with poeciliid densities to test the prevailing hypothesis that parasitism of native hosts depends on the prevalence of introduced poeciliids. We tested for parasite effects on hosts by examining body condition of infected and uninfected A. stamineus using a Student’s t-test as well as by inspecting the correlation between host body condition and the number of parasites per gram.
Generalised linear models were constructed to examine the influence of site-averaged host attributes (length, heterozygosity), native and non-native host population densities (A. stamineus density, poeciliid density), land use (%ag-urb) and water chemistry (PC1 and PC2) on both measures of parasitism. Sites where fewer than three A. stamineus could be examined for parasites were excluded from analysis, resulting in 48 sites with prevalence data and 27 sites for intensity (which only considers infected fish). In preliminary data exploration (Walters et al., 2008; Freckleton, 2011; Blum et al., 2012), collinearity ($r > 0.6$) was first assessed by examining pairwise correlations among sets of variables within each of the extrinsic and intrinsic categories described above. For both measures of parasitism, a best-subsets regression approach for all possible models was used whenever the number of variables was less than one-tenth the numbers of observations. To address heteroscedasticity in residuals and to identify the error distribution that best fits our data, the fit of error distributions was compared to data using Vuong’s closeness test, a likelihood ratio-based approach that evaluates competing, non-nested models using Kullback-Leibler information criterion (Vuong, 1989). Poisson error distributions were used for models examining effects of site-level attributes on C. cotti intensity (Proc Countreg) and prevalence (Proc Genmod, SAS ver. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Models were ranked using Akaike’s information criteria (AIC) where best models were defined as those having a ΔAIC ≤2. The frequency of each predictor variable in the best models was used to assess its general contribution to each metric of parasitism. Recognising that sampling across islands and multiple sites within catchments adds a potential geographic constraint to our data, we included both island and catchment as a class variable in all models.

Results

Host distribution and density

Awaous stamineus were found in all 23 catchments sampled (Fig. 1). Streams on Hawai‘i, Moloka‘i and Kaua‘i had the highest average densities followed by Maui and O‘ahu (Table 1). At the catchment level, highest densities were found in the Waimea catchment on Kaua‘i, the Waipio catchment on Hawai‘i and the Pelekunu catchment on Moloka‘i (Table 1). The lowest densities were in the Waimea and Alawai catchments on O‘ahu, and the Iao catchment on Maui (Table 1). Poeciliid fishes were observed in 17 of 23 catchments. The most abundant poeciliid species were the guppy, Poecilia reticulata, the short fin molly, P. latipinna, the green sword tail, Xiphophorus helleri, and the mosquito fish, Gambusia affinis. The highest average densities of poeciliids occurred on Hawai‘i and O‘ahu and the lowest densities on Moloka‘i (Table 1). We did not observe poeciliids in the main channel of Waihe’e in Maui, but we did note their presence in water diversion canals adjacent to and downstream of the stream survey sites. No introduced fishes of any kind were found in streams or side pools in three catchments on Moloka‘i. These results are consistent with previous surveys that found no evidence of poeciliids or other non-native fishes in these catchments (Pelekunu – 17 surveys between 1956 and 2005; Wailau – 9 surveys between 1977 and 1999; Waikolu – 22 surveys between 1979 and 2002).

Parasite density and distribution

The intensity and prevalence of C. cotti varied across the Hawaiian archipelago. Across all catchments, an average of 45% of individuals had infections and the mean intensity was 5.8 parasites. There was no overall difference in body condition between parasitised and unparasitised A. stamineus ($t = -0.507$, d.f. = 562, $P = 0.61$) nor was there a correlation between body condition and number of parasites per gram ($r = 0.072$, $P = 0.082$). Other internal macroparasites had comparatively low prevalence; the next most prevalent parasite was the introduced Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, which had less than 4% prevalence. As a result, parasites other than C. cotti were not considered in subsequent analyses.

Although measures of parasitism were moderately to strongly correlated with one another ($r = 0.47$, $P < 0.001$), the intensity and prevalence of C. cotti nonetheless varied widely across the Hawaiian archipelago. Intensity was highest in the Wailau, Pelekunu and Waikolu catchments on the remote windward coast of Moloka‘i (Table 1). Prevalence was highest in the Lawai catchment on Kaua‘i and the Wailau and Pelekunu catchments on Moloka‘i (Table 1).

The introduced parasite C. cotti was found in native A. stamineus from 21 of the 23 sampled catchments. Aelele catchment on Maui and Hanakapi’ai catchment on Kaua‘i were the only two sampled catchments where no C. cotti infections were found. Parasites were present in A. stamineus from four of the six catchments where poeciliids were not observed during snorkel surveys, with three of the six having no historical record of poeciliids. Our surveys in Aelele and Hanakapi’ai catchments did not detect poeciliids, but they have been previously
Table 1  The number of *A. stamineus* and *C. cotti* examined for parasites (n), along with the mean intensity (Inten) and prevalence (Prev) of *Canallanus cotti* parasites in *A. stamineus* in each sampled catchment relative to the average length of host fish in millimetres (Len), average weight of *A. stamineus* host in grams (Mass), average condition of *A. stamineus* host (Cond), density of poeciliids (POEC), density of *A. stamineus* (Den AS), number of *A. stamineus* genotyped (Gen n), observed heterozygosity (Ho), water chemistry principal component one (PC1; primary loadings from all three nitrogen forms, conductivity, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids) and principle component two (PC2, primary loading from SRP), per cent agricultural and urban land use (%ag-urb). N/A = not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stream</th>
<th>ISLAND</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Inten</th>
<th>Prev</th>
<th>Len</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Cond</th>
<th>POEC</th>
<th>Den AS</th>
<th>Gen n</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>%ag-urb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kau‘i</td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>52.95</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>–0.004</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanakapi‘ai</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>–0.012</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>–0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wahi‘na</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>85.70</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>–1.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moloa’a</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>–0.034</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>–0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawa‘i</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>–0.011</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>4.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimāe</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>–0.004</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O‘ahu</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahana</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>–0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waikane</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiahole</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>–0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alawai</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>121.0</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>1.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimāe</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>156.5</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>–0.042</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>–0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moloka‘i</td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>78.34</td>
<td>138.2</td>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waikolu</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>73.70</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>47.92</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>–0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelekunu</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>166.9</td>
<td>52.09</td>
<td>–0.012</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>–0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waialua</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>–0.003</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>–1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halawa</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>–0.017</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>–1.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honou‘i Wai</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>–0.012</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>–0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>34.82</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honokohau</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>143.4</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>–1.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waihe’e</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>–0.003</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>–1.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iao</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>47.40</td>
<td>194.4</td>
<td>84.45</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>–1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi‘ina‘u</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>–0.009</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>–0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alelele</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>–1.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waipi‘o</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honoli‘i</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>31.60</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>–0.002</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>–0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predictors of parasitism

Values obtained for host length, body condition, observed heterozygosity, %ag-urb, water chemistry PC1 and PC2 are presented in Table 1. PC1 explained 54.2% of the variation in water chemistry among catchments with strong loadings (>0.6) from all three nitrogen forms, conductivity, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids. PC2 explained 15.3% of the variation in water chemistry and had a strong loading only from SRP.

Model selection results did not support the prevailing hypothesis that parasitism is driven by the prevalence of non-native poeciliid hosts. The density of poeciliids alone was not significantly correlated with intensity ($r = -0.137$, $P = 0.35$) or prevalence ($r = -0.062$, $P = 0.68$) of *C. cotti*. Although the density of poeciliids was included in 33% of the top models for intensity as well as the single best model for prevalence (Table 2 and Appendix S1), model selection identified all candidate predictors in at least one top model except for water chemistry PC1 and per cent agricultural and urban land use (Table 2 and Appendix S1). When models were run with all predictor variables, we found significant relationships between all variables and intensity. We also found significant relationships between prevalence and density of *A. stamineus* and water chemistry PC2 (Table 3). Additionally, host length was the most frequently identified predictor of parasitism of *A. stamineus* (Table 2 and Appendix S1; Fig. 2). In models including all factors, the density of *A. stamineus* was positively correlated with parasitism ($r = -0.631$, $P = 0.001$).
associated with prevalence and intensity, whereas the density of poeciliids was negatively associated with intensity (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Previous studies have suggested that *Camallanus cotti* only infects native hosts in streams where non-native poeciliid hosts have been introduced (Font & Tate, 1994; Font, 2003), but our surveys revealed that the distribution of *C. cotti* has become decoupled from that of their introduced hosts. Some of the highest densities of *C. cotti* occurred in streams with no recorded observations of poeciliids, including the Pelekunu, Wailau and Waikoulu catchments on the windward coast of Moloka‘i. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that poeciliids are present but were not detected in these catchments during our work and previous surveys, the physical isolation of the catchments minimises the likelihood of species introductions, including guppies and other poeciliids that have been introduced via aquarium releases or intentionally released into streams for mosquito control (Font, 2003, 2007).

Evidence of introduced parasites spreading beyond the range of introduced hosts represents an important counterpart to more celebrated cases of invasions being enhanced by ‘enemy release’ where non-native species have a competitive advantage over native species by carrying relatively lower parasite loads in areas of introduction compared with historic ranges (Torchin, Lafferty & Kuris, 2001; Torchin et al., 2003; Liu & Stiling, 2004). Like enemy release, enemy addition can promote the spread of the original, non-native host species at the expense of native species (Prenter et al., 2004; Rushton et al., 2006). For example, the spread of the North American signal crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, across Europe has been aided by the microsporidian parasite, *Theolohania contejeani*, which reduces feeding behaviour in the native white clawed crayfish, *Austropotamobius pallipes*, but is benign in the introduced crayfish (*Haddaway et al.*, 2012). Our results further demonstrate that the introduction of non-native host species can result in secondary invasions of co-introduced parasites. We also show that secondary invasions of non-native parasites can proceed on pathways independent of the original non-native host, which reinforces concerns that a single species introduction can have multiplicative and unanticipated outcomes (Prenter et al., 2004; Ehrenfeld, 2010).

The spread of non-native parasites independent of their original hosts is especially intriguing in this study system as the ocean is the only connection between catchments in the Hawaiian Islands. Larvae of native Hawaiian amphidromous fishes, including *A. stamineus*, are not infected with parasites prior to entering the ocean and thus do not function as a conduit for parasite dispersal (Font, 2003). The most likely means of colonising new streams (i.e. where introduced fishes are absent) are via euryhaline fish hosts that routinely move between marine and freshwater environments, such as flagtails (*Kuhlia xenura*). *Camallanus cotti* infections have been recorded in *Kuhlia xenura* in Hawaiian estuaries as well as in *Kuhlia marginata* in New Caledonia (Moravec & Justine, 2006, Font 2007). Another possible dispersal pathway is the transport of infected copepods by water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Direction of association</th>
<th>Top model frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensity (N = 27 sites)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of poeciliids</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed heterozygosity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence (N = 48 sites)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of poeciliids</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of <em>A. stamineus</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%ag-urb</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of <em>A. stamineus</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of poeciliids</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed heterozygosity</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
birds moving between catchments (Frisch, Green & Figuerola, 2007). Regardless of the mechanism of dispersal, the spread of introduced parasites independently of their original host greatly expands the potential for parasitism of native stream fishes across the archipelago.

Our statistical model results suggest that parasitism of *A. stamineus* by the introduced nematode *C. cotti* is influenced by a portfolio of factors rather than being solely attributable to the presence or density of non-native poeciliids. Our top models included a suite of variables rather than a single or small number of strong predictors. These results are consistent with other studies that suggest complex controls on parasitism rates (Paterson et al., 2012; Perez-Rodriguez et al., 2013). For example, Perez-Rodriguez et al. (2013) identified top models encompassing multiple types of predictors (e.g. landscape features, climate) and showed that predictors of parasitism may not be consistent even among closely related host species. Paterson et al. (2012) similarly found that parasitism of introduced fish by native parasites is not attributable to a few quantifiable predictors and suggested that ecological, immunological and physical characteristics may all factor into parasite acquisition. Our results are indicative of the complex nature of parasitism and highlight the potential pitfalls of characterising parasitism by focusing on a single or small number of *a priori* selected environmental and biological variables. Some caution must also be taken, however, when selecting multiple factors for analysis as there can be a risk of overfitting models (Ginzberg & Jenson 2004). As we have done here, careful consideration should be given to selecting plausible factors that are known to influence parasitism in other systems.

Although no single factor explains parasitism of native Hawaiian goby hosts by introduced parasites, our analyses suggest that parasitism is most often attributable to host size, native host densities and soluble reactive phosphate (PC2). It is probable that these factors individually and interactively influence parasitism. For example, greater parasitism of larger *A. stamineus*, which reflects a commonly observed pattern in studies of parasitism, is probably a result of accumulation of larger parasite loads over longer exposure times as length corresponds closely with age (Price & Clancy, 1983; E.F. Hain & B.A. Lamphere unpublished data). Although populations of parasites with indirect life cycles are typically not regulated by the densities of definitive hosts (Arneberg, 2001), direct transmission of *C. cotti* during spawning or other close interactions could contribute to the observed positive effect of *A. stamineus* density on the prevalence and intensity of *C. cotti* infections. Direct transmission of *C. cotti* has never been recorded in the field, but laboratory studies have demonstrated that *C. cotti* can be directly transmitted during close contact.
among fishes (Levsen & Jakobsen, 2002). The observed trends also may partly reflect prevailing environmental conditions (Rohr et al., 2008) in catchments that enable native hosts to reach greater size and densities. Some of the highest levels of parasitism were observed in remote, forest-dominated catchments on Kaua‘i and Moloka‘i where A. stamineus achieves the largest average body lengths and the highest densities. The association of parasitism with larger sized, high density populations of native hosts in more pristine catchments that also lack poeciliids is probably driving the negative relationship between parasitism of native hosts and non-native host density. This relationship raises the possibility, however, that the presence of non-native hosts may result in a situation similar to the dilution effect. Higher densities of alternative hosts could serve to reduce infection of native hosts by taking up parasites that otherwise would be available to infect native hosts (Johnson & Thieltges, 2010). The influence of alternative hosts may be greater if there is a finite pool of parasites or if there is preferential infection of these species over native species. It is also possible that parasitism is higher in more pristine catchments, with higher soluble reactive phosphate, because intermediate hosts or free-living life stages of the parasite are susceptible to environmental degradation but are often positively influenced by increased nutrients such as phosphate (Lafferty & Kuris, 1999; McIntyre et al., 2005; McKenzie, 2007; Schotthoefer et al., 2011).

Relatively small sample sizes per site, as well as lack of data on intermediate hosts and other native definitive hosts, may have constrained our ability to fully resolve the factors driving parasitism of A. stamineus by C. cotti. Concerns over the status and viability of local populations of native fishes limited the number of individuals that we were permitted to remove from local populations. We compensated for this limitation by weighting our analysis to reflect small sample sizes and by considering patterns across a large number of sites. Nonetheless, larger sample sizes could have augmented our capacity to identify factors governing parasitism. In future work, characterising the abundance of the intermediate copepod host might also be helpful for reconstructing pathways of interactions between environmental degradation and infection of native hosts by introduced parasites (Rohr et al., 2008). For example, our finding that parasitism generally increases with increasing soluble reactive phosphate could be attributable to responses of copepods to increased nutrients, but the ecology and abundance of intermediate host(s) of C. cotti in Hawaiian streams are not well understood (Font & Tate, 1994; Font, 2003).

The spread of introduced parasites independent of their original host greatly expands the potential influence of species introductions on native species. Although non-native parasites may be introduced to a naive ecosystem via a non-native host, our findings indicate that host and parasite distributions can become decoupled such that the reach of introduced parasites can extend well beyond the distribution of the non-native host species. As a result, control of non-native hosts alone will not be an adequate step to prevent the spread of introduced parasites. Our results affirm that eradication of existing poeciliid populations would not prevent C. cotti infections of native fishes.

Prevention is probably the most efficient way to combat the expansion of species invasions (Vander Zanden et al., 2010), and our findings suggest a need for a new dimension of prevention: screening or routine prophylaxis of imported fishes against parasites. Implementation of more extensive risk assessment might also prove useful (Williams, Britton & Turnbull, 2013), although the absence of key predictors of parasite acquisition may constrain assessment outcomes (Paterson et al., 2012; Pérez-Rodriguez et al., 2013). Similarly, remediation of nematode infections in native Hawaiian stream fishes is likely to be difficult because parasite loads in native hosts are influenced by multiple environmental factors and because non-native parasites appear capable of spreading through novel dispersal pathways that could enable them to rapidly re-establish following treatment.

Finally, our findings raise questions about what sites should be considered as reference sites for conservation and restoration efforts in the Hawaiian archipelago. The relatively pristine sites where we found the highest loads of introduced parasites are generally treated as the standard against which all other catchments are judged. The fact that introduced parasites are thriving in these catchments suggests a more nuanced view may be needed to guide conservation and restoration decisions. Even though our results suggest that C. cotti have limited pathogenicity in A. stamineus (i.e. host body condition does not differ between infected and non-infected individuals), the presence of C. cotti in these catchments nonetheless represents a potential risk to native fishes. It is possible, for example, that compensatory factors are masking the influence of C. cotti on A. stamineus; greater resource availability could be enhancing the capacity of A. stamineus to survive or support more severe infections (Brown et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2010). Parasitism might detrimentally affect A. stamineus if conditions become less favourable with shifts in biotic or abiotic conditions (e.g. an epizootic event). It is also possible
that *C. cotti* have greater pathogenicity in other native fish hosts (Kim et al., 2002). Improved understanding of pathogenicity, as well as temporal variation in parasitism (i.e. the possibility of epizootic events), would not only further clarify the potential effect of *C. cotti* on native hosts, but also help parameterise reference conditions for conservation of native stream fishes across the Hawaiian archipelago.
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